

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/840,832	07/26/2001	Hideki Harada	2001_0457A	6119
513	7590 02/06/2004		EXAMINER	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.			CHANG, YEAN HSI	
2033 K STREI SUITE 800	EI N. W.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			2835	

DATE MAILED: 02/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/840,832	HARADA, HIDEKI				
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Yean-Hsi Chang	2835				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c rrespondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 20 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a tion in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>8-28 and 30</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 31-40.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:		Suppose				
		N SCHUBERG PATENT EXAMINER GY CENTER 2800				
	TECHNULU	0,05				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The argument stated in the remarks of the amendment filed 1/20/04, are reasonable as far as claim 31 is concerned, e.i. both the display screen and the surface of the display unit opposite to the display screen are defined by a finite area only. However, it would not be possible " when said display unit is mounted on a forearm of a user via said forearm mounting unit, said display screen is rotatable to a position that is substantially perpendicular to a visual axis of the user" as stated in claims 32, 36 and 38 which depend from claim 31; and it would not be possible also "said second rotary mechanism is to allow said display unit to rotate relative to said forearm mounting unit about the second axis for 360 degrees" as stated in claim 40 which is dependent from claim 31. Since the angle of rotation of the display unit about the second axis is so limited as to keep that " the frst axis is in a plane that is between said display screen and a surface of said display unit opposite to said display screen" stated in claim 31.